Finite-time stochastic reduction models

Dorje C. Brody* and Lane P. Hughston†
*Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ, UK
†Department of Mathematics, King's College London, The Strand, London WC2R 2LS, UK
(February 1, 2008)

A new energy-based stochastic extension of the Schrödinger equation for which the wave function collapses after the passage of a finite amount of time is proposed. An exact closed-form solution to the dynamical equation, valid for all finite-dimensional quantum systems, is presented and used to verify explicitly that reduction of the state vector to an energy eigenstate occurs. A time-change technique is introduced to construct a 'clock' variable that relates the asymptotic and the finite-time collapse models by means of a nonlinear transformation.

PACS number(s): 03.65.Ta, 02.50.Ey

arXiv:quant-ph/0503231v1 30 Mar 2005

The idea that Brownian motion might play a role in models for the collapse of the wave function was first envisaged by Wiener and Siegel [1]. Although that specific proposal did not in the end fully account for the correct probability law, a number of authors have subsequently proposed and developed dynamical collapse models driven by Brownian motion that have the key property of being compatible with the probabilistic hypotheses of standard quantum mechanics. Progress in this area over the last two decades can be broadly classified into two categories: (a) models based on the idea of 'spontaneous localisation' of the wave function [2], and (b) models based on the notion of collapse of the state vector to an energy eigenstate [3]. See [4] and references cited therein for accounts of these approaches.

The energy-based collapse models, with which we are concerned here, have the physical property that the expectation of the future energy of the system is given by the initial energy. It has been argued on phenomenological grounds [5] that the characteristic timescale for collapse to an energy eigenstate in such models is of the order

$$\tau_R \approx \left(\frac{2.8\mathrm{MeV}}{\Delta H}\right)^2 \mathrm{s}, \tag{1}$$

where ΔH is the initial energy uncertainty, and it has been demonstrated that the choice (1) is consistent with empirical observations for a number of different examples of quantum systems [6]. The timescale τ_R is indicative of the time it takes for the wave function to reach the immediate vicinity of an energy eigenstate. That is to say, after the passage of several multiples of τ_R, the state of the system is, with a high degree of probability, nearly indistinguishable from one of the energy eigenstates. It should be emphasised, however, that strict collapse, in these models, is achieved only asymptotically in time, and it has hitherto been unknown how to formulate a consistent dynamical collapse model that exhibits a complete reduction of the state vector in a finite period of time.

The purpose of this article is to introduce a new class of energy-based models for which the collapse is completed after the passage of a specified time interval T. Furthermore, we obtain an exact closed-form expression for the state vector process that solves the dynamical equation. We show that, remarkably, the finite-time collapse model and the standard infinite-time collapse models are related by a time transformation of the form

$$\tau(t) = \frac{tT}{T-t}, \tag{2}$$

where t is the clock time of the finite-time collapse model, and τ is the clock time in the asymptotic collapse model. Thus the finite-time collapse model can be viewed as a 'fast-forwarded' version of the asymptotic collapse model.

We begin by stating the main results of the paper. *Let the dynamics of the state-vector process $\{|\psi_t\rangle\}$ be given by the following stochastic Schrödinger equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}|\psi_t\rangle = &-\mathrm{i}\hat{H}|\psi_t\rangle\mathrm{d}t - \tfrac{1}{8}\sigma_t^2(\hat{H}-H_t)^2|\psi_t\rangle\mathrm{d}t \\ &+\tfrac{1}{2}\sigma_t(\hat{H}-H_t)|\psi_t\rangle\mathrm{d}W_t.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here $H_t = \langle\psi_t|\hat{H}|\psi_t\rangle/\langle\psi_t|\psi_t\rangle$ is the expectation value of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ in the state $|\psi_t\rangle$, $\{W_t\}$ is the Wiener process, and $\sigma_t = \sigma T/(T-t)$, where σ is a parameter. Then starting from an arbitrary initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ the wave function collapses to an energy eigenstate at time T. Energy is conserved in expectation, and the probability of collapse to a state of energy E_i $(i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n)$ is in accordance with the Born law $\pi_i = \langle\psi_0|\hat{\Pi}_i|\psi_0\rangle/\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle$, where $\hat{\Pi}_i$ is the projection operator onto the Hilbert subspace of states with energy E_i.

Our model (3) contains two parameters, namely, the reduction time T and the energy volatility parameter σ, which has the units $[\text{energy}]^{-1}[\text{time}]^{-1/2}$. An application of the Ito product rule

$$\mathrm{d}(X_tY_t) = X_t(\mathrm{d}Y_t) + (\mathrm{d}X_t)Y_t + (\mathrm{d}X_t)(\mathrm{d}Y_t) \tag{4}$$

shows that the normalisation of $|\psi_t\rangle$ is preserved under (3), so $\mathrm{d}\langle\psi_t|\psi_t\rangle = 0$, and that $\{H_t\}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{d}H_t = \sigma_t V_t \,\mathrm{d}W_t, \tag{5}$$

where

$$V_t = \frac{\langle\psi_t|(\hat{H}-H_t)^2|\psi_t\rangle}{\langle\psi_t|\psi_t\rangle} \tag{6}$$

is the energy variance. It follows from (5) that the energy process $\{H_t\}$ has no drift and thus satisfies the conservation law

$$\mathbb{E}[H_u|\{W_s\}_{0\le s\le t}] = H_t \tag{7}$$

for $0 \le t \le u$, where $\mathbb{E}[-|\{W_s\}_{0\le s\le t}]$ denotes conditional expectation given the trajectory of the Wiener process from time 0 up to time t.

To verify the collapse property we shall examine the dynamics of the energy variance process $\{V_t\}$. In particular, by use of the Ito rule (4) together with Jensen's inequality we deduce that

$$\bar{V}_t \le V_0 - \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \bar{V}_s^2 \mathrm{d}s, \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{V}_t = \mathbb{E}[V_t]$ is the unconditional expectation of the energy variance, which is non-negative. Since $\bar{V}_t \le \bar{V}_s$ for $s \le t$, the inequality (8) remains valid if we replace $\bar{V}_s$ with $\bar{V}_T$ in the integrand. It follows for any $t \le T$ that

$$\bar{V}_t \le V_0 - \sigma^2 \frac{tT}{T-t}\bar{V}_T^2. \tag{9}$$

Now suppose $\bar{V}_T > 0$. Then there would exist a time $t_0 = V_0 T/(V_0+\sigma^2 T\bar{V}_T^2) < T$ such that the right side of (9) vanishes and hence such that $\bar{V}_{t_0} = 0$. This contradicts our supposition, and hence we conclude that $\bar{V}_T = 0$, and thus $V_T = 0$ with probability one.

To verify the Born law we must show that the conditional transition probability

$$\pi_{it} = \frac{\langle\psi_t|\hat{\Pi}_i|\psi_t\rangle}{\langle\psi_t|\psi_t\rangle} \tag{10}$$

is conserved in expectation, i.e. that $\pi_i = \mathbb{E}[\pi_{it}]$ for $t \le T$. This follows from an application of Ito's rule, which implies that $\mathrm{d}\pi_{it} = \sigma_t(E_i - H_t)\pi_{it}\mathrm{d}W_t$ and thus $\{\pi_{it}\}$ has no drift. Because $\mathbb{E}[\pi_{iT}]$ is the probability of collapse to a state with energy E_i, the conservation law shows that this is given by the Born probability π_i.

We now proceed to investigate the dynamical equation (3) more deeply with a view to obtaining a closed-form solution. First we observe that (3) can be cast into an integral form that incorporates the initial condition. In particular, it follows from (3) that

$$|\psi_t\rangle = \hat{U}_t \hat{M}_t^{1/2}|\psi_0\rangle, \tag{11}$$

where $\hat{U}_t = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{H}t}$ is the standard unitary evolutionary operator associated with $\hat{H}$, and

$$\hat{M}_t = \frac{\exp\left(\hat{H}\int_0^t \sigma_s(\mathrm{d}W_s + \sigma_s H_s \mathrm{d}s) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma_s H_s(\mathrm{d}W_s + \sigma_s H_s \mathrm{d}s) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 H_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)} \tag{12}$$

is a positive operator-valued process. Next we introduce a process $\{W_t^*\}$ defined by

$$W_t^* = W_t + \int_0^t \sigma_s H_s \mathrm{d}s. \tag{13}$$

With respect to the Wiener measure $\mathbb{P}$, under which $\{W_t\}$ is a standard Brownian motion, $\{W_t^*\}$ is a Brownian motion with drift. Therefore, by the well-known theorem of Girsanov there exists a measure $\mathbb{Q}$ with the property that $\{W_t^*\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Brownian motion for $t \in [0,T)$. Since the dynamical law (3) preserves the normalisation of $|\psi_t\rangle$, it follows from (11) that $\langle\psi_0|\hat{M}_t|\psi_0\rangle = \langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle$ for $t \in [0,T)$, and hence that

$$\hat{M}_t = \frac{1}{\Phi_t}\exp\left(\hat{H}\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \tfrac{1}{2}\hat{H}^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_t &= \exp\left(\int_0^t \sigma_s H_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \tfrac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 H_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)\\ &= \frac{\langle\psi_0|\exp\left(\hat{H}\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_t^* - \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}t\right)|\psi_0\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle}.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We recall that at time t the probability of reduction to a state with energy E_i is given by (10). By use of (11) and the commutation relation $[\hat{M}_t, \hat{\Pi}_i] = 0$ it follows then that $\pi_{it} = \langle\psi_0|\hat{\Pi}_i\hat{M}_t|\psi_0\rangle/\langle\psi_0|\psi_0\rangle$. Substituting (14) into this relation we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{it} &= \frac{\langle\psi_0|\hat{\Pi}_i\exp\left(\hat{H}\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)|\psi_0\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\exp\left(\hat{H}\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)|\psi_0\rangle}\\ &= \frac{\pi_i\exp\left(E_i\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \frac{1}{2}E_i^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)}{\sum_i \pi_i\exp\left(E_i\int_0^t \sigma_s \mathrm{d}W_s^* - \frac{1}{2}E_i^2\int_0^t \sigma_s^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)}.\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Recalling that $\sigma_s = \sigma T/(T-s)$, we find it convenient now to introduce a process $\{\xi_t\}$ defined by

$$\xi_t = (T-t)\int_0^t \frac{1}{T-s}\,\mathrm{d}W_s^*. \tag{17}$$

We observe that the right side of (17) is an integral representation for a *Brownian bridge* [7] in the $\mathbb{Q}$-measure. Substituting (17) into (16) we infer that the reduction probability π_{it} can be expressed in terms of the variable ξ_t as follows:

$$\pi_{it} = \frac{\pi_i\exp\left(\frac{\sigma\xi_t E_i T - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 E_i^2 tT}{T-t}\right)}{\sum_i \pi_i\exp\left(\frac{\sigma\xi_t E_i T - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 E_i^2 tT}{T-t}\right)}. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, on account of the relation $H_t = \sum_i E_i \pi_{it}$ for the energy process, we deduce that:

$$H_t = \frac{\sum_i \pi_i E_i \exp\left(\frac{\sigma \xi_t E_i T - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 E_i^2 t T}{T-t}\right)}{\sum_i \pi_i \exp\left(\frac{\sigma \xi_t E_i T - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 E_i^2 t T}{T-t}\right)}. \tag{19}$$

In view of the exact solution to the asymptotic collapse model obtained in [8], the fact that H_t and π_{it} can be expressed as functions of ξ_t and t suggests there might be a simple representation for ξ_t in terms of elementary random data that can be specified without reference to the state-vector process. If so, we can substitute such a representation for ξ_t into (19) to obtain a closed-form solution to the stochastic equation, in place of the integral representation (11) which implicitly depends on $\{|\psi_t\rangle\}$.

We thus proceed as follows: we define a process $\{\beta_t\}$ by the relation $\beta_t = \xi_t - \sigma t H_T$, where H_T is the terminal value of the energy. We claim that the random variables β_t and H_T are independent. To establish their independence it suffices to verify that $\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{x\beta_t + yH_T}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{x\beta_t}]\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{yH_T}]$ for arbitrary x, y. Using the tower property of conditional expectation we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{x\beta_t + yH_T}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{x\xi_t}\,\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(y-\sigma t x)H_T}\middle|\xi_t\right]\right]. \tag{20}$$

Let us consider the inner expectation $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(y-\sigma t x)H_T}|\xi_t\right]$. Using expression (18) for the conditional probability distribution of the terminal energy H_T we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(y-\sigma t x)H_T}\middle|\xi_t\right] \qquad (21)\\ &= \Phi_t^{-1}\sum_i \pi_i \mathrm{e}^{(y-\sigma t x)E_i}\exp\left(\frac{\sigma\xi_t E_i T - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 E_i^2 t T}{T-t}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now $\{\Phi_t\}$ is the density process for changing the measure from $\mathbb{Q}$ to $\mathbb{P}$. That is to say, the expectation $\mathbb{E}$ under $\mathbb{P}$, in which $\{W_t\}$ is a standard Brownian motion, is related to the expectation $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ under $\mathbb{Q}$, in which $\{W_t^*\}$ is a standard Brownian motion, according to

$$\mathbb{E}[X_u|\{W_s\}_{0\le s\le t}] = \frac{1}{\Phi_t}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\Phi_u X_u|\{W_s\}_{0\le s\le t}], \tag{22}$$

for any random variable X_u that can be expressed as a functional of the trajectory $\{W_s\}_{0\le s\le u}$. Then making use of the fact that $\{\xi_t\}$ is a Brownian bridge under $\mathbb{Q}$, we deduce, after some rearrangement of terms, that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathrm{e}^{x\beta_t + yH_T}\right] = \sum_i \pi_i \mathrm{e}^{yE_i}\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{t(T-t)}{2T}x^2}. \tag{23}$$

Here we have used the facts that if g is a zero-mean Gaussian random variable with variance γ^2, then $\mathbb{E}[\mathrm{e}^{xg}] = \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 x^2}$, and that the variance of the Brownian bridge $\{\xi_t\}$ is $t(T-t)/T$. This proves the independence of $\{\beta_t\}$ and H_T.

The result (23) also establishes that under $\mathbb{P}$ the process $\{\beta_t\}$ is Gaussian, and has mean zero and variance $t(T-t)/T$ for $t \in [0, T)$. A similar line of argument shows for $s \le t$ that the covariance of β_s and β_t is

$$\mathrm{Cov}\,[\beta_s, \beta_t] = s(T-t)/T. \tag{24}$$

Therefore we conclude that $\{\beta_t\}$ is a $\mathbb{P}$-Brownian bridge. As a consequence, there exists a Brownian motion $\{B_t\}$ such that $\{\beta_t\}$ admits an integral representation:

$$\beta_t = (T-t)\int_0^t \frac{1}{T-s}\,\mathrm{d}B_s. \tag{25}$$

Thus we have verified that the process $\{\xi_t\}$ can be expressed in the form

$$\xi_t = \sigma t H_T + \beta_t, \tag{26}$$

where H_T is the terminal energy, and $\{\beta_t\}$ is an independent Brownian bridge.

Conversely, *given a discrete random variable H_T taking the values $\{E_i\}$ with probability $\{\pi_i\}$, and given an independent Brownian bridge process $\{\beta_t\}$ on the interval $[0, T]$, we can use the ansatz* (26) *as a basis for constructing a closed-form solution to the dynamical equation* (3).

The argument can be sketched as follows. First, given $\{\xi_t\}$ as defined above in terms of H_T and $\{\beta_t\}$, let $\pi_{it} = \mathbb{P}(H_T = E_i|\xi_t)$ be the conditional probability that H_T takes the value E_i when the value of ξ_t is specified. It follows by use of the Bayes formula that

$$\mathbb{P}(H_T = E_i|\xi_t) = \frac{\pi_i \rho(\xi_t|H_T = E_i)}{\sum_i \pi_i \rho(\xi_t|H_T = E_i)}, \tag{27}$$

where

$$\rho(\xi_t|H_T = E_i) = \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi t(T-t)}}\exp\left(-\frac{(\xi_t - \sigma t E_i)^2 T}{2t(T-t)}\right). \tag{28}$$

Expression (28) can be deduced from the fact that conditional on $H_T = E_i$ the variable ξ_t in (26) is normally distributed with mean $\sigma t E_i$ and variance $t(T-t)/T$. Thus, we recover the expression (18) for $\{\pi_{it}\}$. Then by an application of Ito calculus we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\pi_{it} = \sigma_t(E_i - H_t)\pi_{it}\,\mathrm{d}W_t, \tag{29}$$

where now the process $\{W_t\}$ is *defined* in terms of $\{\xi_t\}$ by the relation

$$W_t = \int_0^t \frac{1}{T-s}\Big(\xi_s - \sigma T H_s\Big)\mathrm{d}s + \xi_t, \tag{30}$$

and $\{H_t\}$ is defined by $H_t = \sum_i \pi_{it} E_i$. The process $\{W_t\}$ thus determined turns out to be a standard Brownian motion. This can be demonstrated by showing that $\{W_t\}$ satisfies $\mathbb{E}[W_t|\{W_u\}_{0\le u\le s}] = W_s$ for $s \le t$ and $(\mathrm{d}W_t)^2 = \mathrm{d}t$. Finally, if we write

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \frac{\hat{\Pi}_i|\psi_0\rangle}{\langle\psi_0|\hat{\Pi}_i|\psi_0\rangle^{1/2}} \tag{31}$$

for the standard Lüders states, then the state vector $\{|\psi_t\rangle\}$ that solves (3) can be written in the form

$$|\psi_t\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\hat{H}t}\sum_i \sqrt{\pi_{it}}\,|\phi_i\rangle, \tag{32}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$|\psi_t\rangle = \sum_i \frac{\sqrt{\pi_i}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}E_i t+\frac{1}{2}E_i\sigma_t\xi_t-\frac{1}{4}E_i^2\int_0^t\sigma_s^2\mathrm{d}s}}{\sqrt{\sum_i \pi_i \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}E_i\sigma_t\xi_t-\frac{1}{4}E_i^2\int_0^t\sigma_s^2\mathrm{d}s}}}|\phi_i\rangle, \tag{33}$$

where $\sigma_s = \sigma T/(T-s)$, and $\xi_t = \sigma t H_T + \beta_t$. A straightforward calculation shows that $|\psi_t\rangle \to |\phi_k\rangle$ as $t \to T$, if we set $H_T = E_k$ in (33). By taking the stochastic differential of (33), and using (30), we are led back to the starting point (3), which directly verifies that (33) is the solution to the dynamical equation (3).

We thus conclude that the substitution of (26) in (19) yields a closed-form expression for the energy process in terms of the exogenously specifiable independent data $\{H_T\}$ and $\{\beta_t\}$. As a consequence, we are able to directly simulate the solution to the stochastic equation, as well as the associated energy evolution $\{H_t\}$, for an arbitrary finite-dimensional quantum system.

Next, we remark on the interpretation of the finite-time collapse model (3). First we observe (cf. [7]) that if $\{B_t\}$ is a standard Brownian motion on the interval $[0,T]$, then the process $\{\tilde{B}_\tau\}$ defined by

$$\tilde{B}_\tau = \int_0^{\frac{\tau T}{\tau+T}} \frac{T}{T-s}\,\mathrm{d}B_s \tag{34}$$

is a standard Brownian motion on the time interval $[0,\infty)$. In particular, let us introduce a new time variable by the relation $\tau(t) = tT/(T-t)$, and define

$$\eta_\tau = \frac{T}{T-t}\,\xi_t = \left(1+\frac{\tau}{T}\right)\xi_{\frac{\tau T}{\tau+T}}. \tag{35}$$

Then the equation (26) can be put into the form

$$\eta_\tau = \frac{\sigma t T H_T}{T-t} + \frac{T\beta_t}{T-t} = \sigma\tau H_T + \int_0^t \frac{T}{T-s}\,\mathrm{d}B_s, \tag{36}$$

on account of the integral representation (25). Making use of the relations (34) and $t = \tau T/(\tau+T)$, we thus deduce that

$$\eta_\tau = \sigma\tau H_T + \tilde{B}_\tau. \tag{37}$$

However, this is the ansatz (cf. [8]) that determines *asymptotic* collapse to an energy eigenstate. That is to say, the expectation $H_\tau = \mathbb{E}[H_T|\eta_\tau]$ determines the energy process $\{H_\tau\}$ associated with the standard energy-based infinite-time collapse models. Therefore, if we take the infinite-time collapse model and replace the time variable t with a clock $\tau(t)$, then as $t \to T$, the clock $\tau(t)$ 'ticks' faster and faster. Eventually, in a system for which time is measured by 'internal' time t, the collapse takes place in a finite time interval T, whereas in a system for which time is measured by the clock variable $\tau(t)$, the collapse takes place asymptotically as $\tau \to \infty$.

In summary, we have introduced a consistent energy-based collapse model that achieves state reduction in finite time, thus meeting the 'challenge' posed in Ref. [9]. We have verified the collapse property directly by solving the dynamical equation (3) explicitly for the state-vector process $\{|\psi_t\rangle\}$ in terms of independently specifiable random data. We have also obtained closed form expressions for the energy process $\{H_t\}$ and the reduction probability process $\{\pi_{it}\}$. An argument based on a time-change shows that the finite-time collapse model is related to the infinite-time collapse model by a nonlinear transformation of the time variable.

DCB thanks the Royal Society for support. We are grateful to I. Constantinou, T. Dean, and J. Dear for useful discussions.

[1] N. Wiener and A. Siegel, Phys. Rev. **91**, 1551 (1953); *ibid.* **101**, 429 (1956).

[2] P. Pearle, Phys. Rev. D**13**, 857 (1976); Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1775 (1984); G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini, and T. Webber, Phys. Rev. D**34**, 470 (1986); L. Diósi, Phys. Lett. A**129**, 419 (1988); Phys. Rev. A**40**, 1165 (1989); G. C. Ghirardi, P. Pearle, and A. Rimini, Phys. Rev. A**42**, 78 (1990); A. Bassi, J. Phys. A**38**, 3173 (2005).

[3] N. Gisin, J. Phys. A**14**, 2259 (1981); Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1657 (1984); Helv. Phys. Acta **62**, 363 (1989); N. Gisin and I. Percival, J. Phys. A**25**, 5677 (1992); I. Percival, Proc. R. Soc. London A**447**, 189 (1994); S. L. Adler and L. P. Horwitz, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 2485 (2000); S. L. Adler, D. C. Brody, T. A. Brun, and L. P. Hughston, J. Phys. A**34**, 8795 (2001).

[4] I. C. Percival, *Quantum state diffusion* (Cambridge: CUP 1998); P. Pearle, in *Open systems and measurement in relativistic quantum theory*, edited by H. P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, (Heidelberg: Springer 1999); A. Bassi and G. C. Ghirardi, Phys. Rep. **379**, 257 (2003); S. L. Adler, *Quantum theory as an emergent phenomenon* (Cambridge: CUP 2004).

[5] L. P. Hughston, Proc. R. Soc. London A**452**, 953 (1996).

[6] S. L. Adler, J. Phys. A**35**, 841 (2002).

[7] M. Yor, *Some Aspects of Brownian Motion*, Part I and II (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag 1992, 1996).

[8] D. C. Brody and L. P. Hughston, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 5254 (2002); D. C. Brody, L. P. Hughston, and J. Syroka, Proc. R. Soc. London A**459**, 2297 (2003).

[9] N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1776 (1984).